Studies on the Blends of CO₂ Copolymer. IV. Natural Rubber/Poly(propylene carbonate) Systems

Hao Pang, Bing Liao, Yuhui Huang, Guangmin Cong

Guangzhou Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Leyiju P.O. Box 1122, Guangzhou 510650, People's Republic of China

Received 30 October 2001; accepted 16 January 2002

ABSTRACT: The blends of a carbon dioxide copolymer, poly(propylene carbonate)(PPC) with natural rubber (NR), were prepared and their mechanical properties and morphology were studied. The optimum formulation blend was obtained by orthogonal experiments. The tensile strength of the blend containing 30 phr PPC was 18.9 MPa, with an elongation at break of 755%. The factors such as PPC and dicumyl peroxide content, PPC molecular weight, sulfur content, curing time, and curing temperature responsible for

controlling the mechanical properties were discussed. Transverse electron micrographs showed a two-phase structure for this blend. Gel content data revealed that PPC was crosslinked. The phase stability of PPC in the blend improved because of the interpenetrating new work structure. © 2002 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Appl Polym Sci 86: 2140–2144, 2002

Key words: poly(propylene carbonate); blends; crosslinking; elastomers; carbon dioxide copolymer

INTRODUCTION

The emission of CO₂ from industries and municipal wastes is causing serious environmental problems. Conversion of CO₂ into useful polymers may solve this problem to some extent. Moreover, the synthesis of CO₂ polymers is economical. In the CO₂ copolymer family, poly(propylene carbonate) (PPC) is a popular member.¹ PPCs are used as adhesives, solid electrolytes, polyols, photoresists, barrier materials, flexibilizers, and plasticizers. In our research group, we are attempting to blend PPC with rubbers to obtain elastomers with soft molecular segments, low glass transition temperature, and amorphous nature. The PPC/ nitrile butadiene rubber (NBR),² and PPC/styrene butadiene rubber (SBR)³ developed by us possess better mechanical properties. In the present work, we developed PPC/natural rubber (NR) blends and studied their mechanical properties. The optimum formulation for these blend elastomers was achieved by orthogonal experiments. The factors controlling their mechanical properties are discussed.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Poly(propylene carbonate) (PPC) with a viscosity average molecular weight of 26,800 was synthesized in our institute. Natural rubber was supplied by Guangzhou the Sixth Rubber Factory, diphenyl guanidine (Accelerator D), 2-mercaptobenzothiazole (Accelerator M), stearic acid, zinc oxide, 2-mercaptobenzimidazole (Antioxidant MB), sulfur, maleic anhydride (MA), and dicumyl peroxide (DCP), were the other commercial materials used as additives.

Processing of the PPC/NR systems

Different of compositions of NR, PPC, and other additives were uniformly mixed in an XKR-160A type two-roll mixer at room temperature and then compressed with a QLB-D type of flat vulcanizing heater at 130°C at a certain temperature under a certain pressure.

Measurements

The tensile strength and elongation at break of the elastomers were determined employing a DXLL-2500 type Electron Tensile Testing Machine as per the Chinese National Standard GB/T 528-92 method. The Shore A Hardness was measured as per the GB/T 531-92 method. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out on a Dupont 2000 thermo-balance at a heating rate of 10°C/min up to 600°C. Transverse electron micrographs (TEMs) were recorded with a JEM-100XII instrument. The samples were microtomed and stained in OsO_4 vapor before use. The gel contents were measured by extracting with toluene for 24 h.

Correspondence to: B. Liao (panghao@mail.gic.ac.cn).

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 86, 2140–2144 (2002) © 2002 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

| | | | | Dim | ension | s and F | actors of the O | rthogonal test | | | |
|-----------|-----|-----|------|-----|----------|---------|-----------------|----------------|-------|-------------|----------|
| | | | | | | | Factor | | | | |
| | | | | | D | | I | 3 | F | G | Н |
| Dimension | Α | В | С | А | ccelerat | ors | Other Assistant | | Time | Temperature | Pressure |
| | DCP | MA | MA S | М | D | ZnO | Stearic Acid | Antioxidant | (min) | (°C) | (MPa) |
| 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.35 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 11.5 | 140 | 10 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.43 | 4.3 | 1.7 | 0.85 | 16.5 | 150 | 15 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 21.5 | 160 | — |

TABLE I Dimensions and Factors of the Orthogonal tes

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Formulation design with orthogonal experiments

PPC is a polar polymer that is incompatible with nonpolar NR. Adding PPC directly into NR will re-

duce the mechanical properties. Hence, it is necessary to design and adjust the formulation to obtain better strength. The basic formulation we generally adopt is as follows: NR, 70 phr; PPC, 30 phr; ZnO, 4 phr; stearic acid, 1.5 phr; antioxidant MB, 1 phr; accelerator M, 0.5

Tensile Factors Shore A Strength Elongation at В С D Е F G Η Hardness Samples А (MPa) Break (%) 11.7 10.8 8.6 8.2 10.0 9.8 12.6 19.6 9.2 8.8 15.1 14.6 7.5 7.2 7.0 13.0 14.6 18.9 Sum of hardness of dimension 1^a Sum of hardness of dimension 2 Sum of hardness of dimension 3 Difference of hardness sums^b Sum of tensile strength of dimension 1 61.8 69.6 68.1 58.6 68.0 76.0 79.6 100.5 Sum of tensile strength of dimension 2 77.2 49.6 71.5 75.9 70.3 65.4 70.8 106.7 Sum of tensile strength of 68.9 dimension 3 68.1 87.9 67.5 72.7 65.8 56.8 38.2 17.3 Difference of tensile strength sums 15.5 4.02.4 10.6 22.8 6.2 Sum of elongation at break of dimension 1 Sum of elongation at break of dimension 2 Sum of elongation at break of dimension 3 Difference of elongation at break sums

TABLE II Mechanical Properties of Orthogonal Test

^a Refers to the sum of hardness of the samples that the certain factors are in dimension 1 in the 18 samples.

^b Refers to the difference of the maximum sum and minimum sum of a certain factor.

| Torinte | Tornala majust and meenancer moperites | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|------|------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Sample | | | | | | | | | |
| Property | A-1 | A-2 | A-3 | A-4 | | | | | | |
| Nature Rubber | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | | | | | | |
| PPC | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | | | | | | |
| ZnO | 5 | 4.3 | 5 | 4.3 | | | | | | |
| Stearic acid | 2 | 1.7 | 2 | 1.7 | | | | | | |
| Antioxidant MB | 1 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.8 | | | | | | |
| Accelerator M | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | | | | | | |
| Accelerator D | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | | | | | | |
| S | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.8 | | | | | | |
| DCP | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | |
| Tensile strength (MPa) | 16.3 | 16.3 | 18.8 | 18.9 | | | | | | |
| Elongation at break (%) | 740 | 786 | 795 | 755 | | | | | | |
| Shore A hardness | 30 | 27 | 29 | 30 | | | | | | |

TABLE III Formula Adjust and Mechanical Properties^a

^a Curing time, 11.5 min; curing temperature, 140°C.

TABLE IVInfluence of PPC on Mechanical Properties (PPC + NR = 100 phr)

| | | | | PPC (phr) | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Property | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 |
| Tensile strength (MPa) Elongation at break (%) Hardness (Shore A) | 24.2 767 35 | 26.6 755 37 | 25.9 803 34 | 24.8 760 34 | 23.1 797 31 | 20.2 830 28 | 18.9 755 30 |

phr; accelerator D, 0.4 phr; sulfur, 2.5 phr; and DCP, 2 phr. Seven factors in three dimensions and one factor in two dimensions were evaluated by the $L_{18}(3^7 \times 2^1)$ orthogonal test. The factors and the dimensions are shown in Table I and the results are presented in Table II.

Orthogonal experimental analysis shows that there are four important factors affecting the mechanical properties: MA, curing temperature, accelerators, and DCP. The optimum dimensions are A2B3C2D2E2F1G1. The combination of the optimum dimensions may not provide optimum properties. To get the optimum value, the formulation was adjusted. It is clear from the results in Table III that the best formulation is as follows: NR, 70 phr; PPC, 30 phr; ZnO, 4.3 phr; stearic acid, 1.7 phr; antioxidant MB, 0.8 phr; accelerator M, 0.6 phr; accelerator D, 0.5 phr; sulfur, 1.8 phr; and DCP, 2 phr.

TABLE V Influence of Sulfur on Mechanical Properties (PPC/NR = 30/70)

| | Sulfur (phr) | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Property | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 4.3 | | | |
| Tensile strength (MPa) Elongation at break (%) Hardness (Shore A) | 14.0 839 25 | 18.9 755 30 | 17.4 658 36 | 18.4 646 36 | 15.4 583 38 | | | |

Influence of different factors on mechanical properties

The influence of content of PPC on the mechanical properties is shown in Table IV. The tensile strength reaches the maximum and then decreases with increase of PPC. PPC increases the tensile strength when its content is in the range 5–15 phr. The influence of PPC content on Shore A is similar to tensile strength, and the elongation at break is almost invariant.

The results on the effects of sulfur, DCP, curing time, and curing temperature on mechanical properties are presented in Tables V, VI, VII, and VIII, respectively. From these results it is clearly evident that the best conditions are as follows: sulfur, 1.8 phr; DCP, 2 phr; curing time, 11.5 min; curing temperature, 140°C.

The effect of molecular weight of PPC on the mechanical properties is presented in Table IX. It is clearly evident that the molecular weight has little

TABLE VIInfluence of DCP on Mechanical Properties(PPC/NR = 30/70)

| | DCP (phr) | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Property | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | |
| Tensile strength (MPa) Elongation at break (%) Hardness (Shore A) | 12.9 709 30 | 17.9 692 32 | 18.9 755 30 | 15.8 574 35 | 15.3 607 34 | | |

| Influence of Curing Time on Mechanical Properties (PPC/NR=30/70) |
|---|
| Curing Time (min) |

TARLE VII

| Property | 5 | 11.5 | 15 | 20 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Tensile strength (MPa) Elongation at break (%) Hardness (Shore A) | 4.3 914 <20 | 18.9 755 30 | 17.1 715 36 | 16.0 660 35 |

TABLE VIIIInfluence of Curing Temperature on Mechanical
Properties (PPC/NR = 30/70)

| | (| ature (°C | °C) | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Property | 130 | 135 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
| Tensile strength (MPa) Elongation at break (%) Hardness (Shore A) | 11.8 923 26 | 16.9 814 27 | 18.9 755 30 | 17.6 708 32 | 15.4 639 35 |

effect on the tensile strength and percent elongation at break of the blend. However, the hardness is increased with molecular weight.

Structure of elastomers

Phase structure

PPC is a polar polymer because it contains a large number of carboxyl and hydroxyl groups. The NR is a hydrocarbon polymer, and so the compatibility of the two is poor. The TEMs (Figure 1) reveal two phase structure for the PPC/NR blend. The double bonds were dyed by OsO_4 . In the micrographs, the NR phase is black and PPC phase is white. The small deep black dots correspond to the inorganic activator. The area of white fields is increasing with PPC content.

Gel content

Toluene is a good solvent for both PPC and uncured NR. The PPC/NR blends with different PPC contents were extracted with toluene. During the process of abstracting, the samples swell and the molecular chains that are not crosslinked get abstracted into the solvent. The results are presented in Table X.

In the PPC/NR system, sulfur is the main crosslinking agent. It can crosslink only the NR molecules in

TABLE IXInfluence of PPC on Mechanical Properties

| | Molecular Weight of PPC | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Property | M = 26,800 | <i>M</i> = 75,000 | | | |
| Tensile strength (MPa) | 18.9 | 18.4 | | | |
| Elongation at break (%) | 755 | 734 | | | |
| Hardness (Shore A) | 26 | 35 | | | |

the blend. DCP was added for most of the samples as an auxiliary crosslinking agent. It can generate free radicals to facilitate crosslinking reactions. The free radicals generated from the decomposition of the DCP during heating process react with both NR and PPC.



a



b.



Figure 1 TEM pictures of PPC/NR blends: (a) PPC/NR = 0/100; (b) PPC/NR = 10/90; (c) PPC/NR = 30/70.

| TABLE X |
|--------------------------------|
| Cel Contents of PPC/NR Samples |

| | Ger Contents of IT Critic Samples | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|--------|------|------|------|------|--|
| | | | | | Sample | | | | | |
| Property | A-1 | A-2 | A-3 | A-4 | A-5 | A-6 | A-7 | A-8 | A-9 | |
| NR (phr) | 100 | 100 | 95 | 90 | 85 | 80 | 75 | 70 | 70 | |
| PPC (phr) | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 30 | |
| DCP (phr) | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | |
| Caculated gel contents (%) | | 94.7 | 89.9 | 85.2 | 80.6 | 75.9 | 71.1 | 66.3 | 66.3 | |
| Real gel contents (%) | 96.5 | 95.8 | 92.5 | 91.6 | 90 | 85.2 | 83.9 | 82.9 | 76.8 | |
| Tensile strength (MPa) | | 24.2 | 26.6 | 25.9 | 24.8 | 23.1 | 20.2 | 18.9 | 12.9 | |
| Elongation at break (%) | _ | 767 | 755 | 803 | 760 | 797 | 830 | 755 | 709 | |

The samples A-1 and A-2 show that DCP has no effect on NR gel content under the certain formulations and processing conditions. However, for samples A-8 and A-9, which contain PPC, the gel content is higher with than that without DCP. This result indicates that PPC was partially crosslinked by DCP. The calculated gel contents in Table IX were calculated basing on two assumptions: PPC was not crosslinked, and all the NR molecular were crosslinked. It is obvious that the real gel content is higher than the calculated one, indicat-



Figure 2 TD (1–3) and DTG (4–6) curves of PPC/NR. Key: (1) PPC/NR = 100/0; (2) PPC/NR = 20/80; (3) PPC/NR = 0/100; (4) PPC/NR = 100/0; (5) PPC/NR = 20/80; (6) PPC/NR = 0/100.

ing that PPC molecules are partially crosslinked. The mechanical properties of samples A-8 and A-9 are quite different. The TEMs show that the PPC/NR system has a two-phase structure, so the crosslinking of PPC may be due to the formation of an interfacial interpenetrating network.

TGA of PPC/NR

The TGA and DTG curves of PPC/NR blends are depicted in Figure 2. The temperature corresponding to maximum decomposition rate ($T_{d max}$) of NR or NR phase is ~370°C. The $T_{d max}$ of pure PPC is 252°C, and for the 20% PPC sample, the $T_{d max}$ is increased to 316°C. This observation indicates that blending is promoting the stability of PPC, possibly because of the interpenetrating of PPC molecules.

This project was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China. The authors thank Professor A. Varada Rajulu for editing the language of this article.

References

- 1. Inoue, S.; Konvma, H.; Tsuruta, T. J Polym Sci, Part B: Polym Lett Ed 1969, 7(4), 287.
- 2. Huang, Y.; Yang, X.; Zhao, S., et al. J Appl Polym Sci 1996, 61, 1479.
- 3. Ye, X.; Huang, Y.; Cong, G. Yingyong Huaxue 1998, 15(5), 74.